

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82473
Title of the Manuscript:	Viewpoints and perception of adverse drug reactions and antibiotics resistance among HCPs regarding antibiotics' self-medication
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The summary results must contain all the data raised, it was limited2. In materials and methods, describe inclusion and exclusion criteria.3. In the materials and methods, place the authorization protocol of the Ethics Committee for carrying out the research.4. In the materials and methods, how many questions were applied in the form, how were these professionals approached?5. Placing the percentages in the graphs makes it easier to understand6. Standardize the presentation of graphics	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. You can take Table 1 and include the information within the text.2. Increase discussion of work3. Are health professionals from a single workplace? Improve this contextualization	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Ethics Committee authority protocol is missing	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlena Sinara Martins da Silva
Department, University & Country	Centro Universitário da Amazônia – UNAMA, Brazil