

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82440
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Applicational arsenic poisoning among dental students- A Survey
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors reviewed and surveyed the seriousness/awareness about Arsenic pollution of groundwater and its far-reaching implications, including consumption through the food chain, which manifests as social problems, health hazards, and socioeconomic breakdown, as well as sprawling with mobility and groundwater mining. Further food crops grown with arsenic-affected water are transferred to other locations, including uncontaminated areas where residents may be exposed to arsenic via the contaminated food. This could further resulted in the emergence of a new menace. Their survey really helpful to assess the understanding the seriousness of arsenic contamination and its remedial measures to ameliorate its effects by the dental student community with appropriate statistical tools. Based on the merit of this review paper I recommend accepting this without any further modifications.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The survey really helped the students to understand the causes, symptoms and ways to prevent arsenic poisoning. They also were exposed to knowledge about use of arsenic in dentistry and the various oral symptoms that can be manifested. It was evident that students did have a well rounded understanding about arsenic poisoning.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Miltonprabu
Department, University & Country	University Of Madras, India