

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82437
Title of the Manuscript:	The potency of major Teucrium polium active ingredients as the Main Protease (Mpro) of COVID-19
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The abstract should be refocused to show the findings, which emphasized on structural interactions between active components on the plant extract and the active coronaviral protein (the Main Protease (Mpro)).</p> <p>Introduction: The citations in the introduction are very old and it is unlikely for any reader to refer to them. Please provide better references.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Selection of this plant and using the leaf extracts for the experiments should be clearly mentioned.</p> <p>'One gram of Jordanian T. polium leaves was restored with 10 mL of methanol as well as stirred at room temperature for five days' – the authors need to explain the statement more elaborately.</p> <p>Results and discussion: Elaborate the discussion taking into consideration the obtained results. Conclusion: Conclusion can be crisper with the future scope of the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Full forms of abbreviated words for eg HIV should be given in the manuscript. Language improvement needs to be done</p> <p>Please check the causal spelling mistakes for the manuscript. Avod any grammatical errors.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is well prepared, and the importance of the study is very relevant taking onto the context of present situation. However before acceptance major revision of the manuscript has to be done considering the points raised by the Reviewer.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arijit Chakraborty
Department, University & Country	Somaiya Vidyavihar University, India