

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82307
Title of the Manuscript:	Allergies caused due to monomers and modified monomer acrylic knowledge among dental practitioners- A Survey
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>a) <u>Title</u>:</p> <p>This title needs remaking. It's not understandable overall.</p> <p>b) <u>Abstract</u>:</p> <p>The abstract needs rearrangement. It lacks the briefing of the entire theme of the study.</p> <p>c) <u>Introduction</u>:</p> <p>Please follow the peer reviewer's suggestions to improvise.</p> <p>d) <u>Materials and Methods</u>:</p> <p>This part of the manuscript needs to be organized according to the reviewer's comment.</p> <p>e) <u>Results and Discussion</u>:</p> <p>This part of the manuscript needs to be organized according to the reviewer's comment.</p> <p>f) <u>Conclusion</u>:</p> <p>Overall, the conclusion is weak. The corresponding author needs to focus on the rearrangement of data and the discussion to modify the write-up.</p> <p>g) <u>Reference</u>:</p> <p>Recently published articles were used as referencing along with prior citations.</p> <p><b>** Source of funding and conflict of interest wasn't mentioned.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Grammatical errors should be corrected.</li><li>2) Spelling errors should be corrected.</li><li>3) Rephrasing should be done per reviewer's comment.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Overall writing style is affable. Author could have given an extra effort to arrange the manuscript in an orderly manner. For better understanding, revision should be done.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A K M Shafiul Kadir</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh</b>