

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82186
Title of the Manuscript:	Antidotes and their Mechanism of Action: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">According to the title of the research paper which is "Antidotes and their Mechanism of Action: <u>A Systematic Review</u>", the type of research is a systematic review. This type of research needs into specific steps and components that are almost not present here. These steps included (Framing questions for a review, Identifying relevant work, assessing the quality of studies, summarizing the evidence, and interpreting the findings). The first three steps are not included in the research paper, so this paper is invalid to be a systematic review and it is just a some sort of literature review or essay.Also the research does not include the rational of study and the specific objectives.Other thing here which is the absence of the research methodology, and this is an essential component that must be mentioned in detail.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">There are many old scientific references that have been taken into account in many points of research, and therefore these points need to be updated, especially since science has developed a lot in this regard.Also, there is repeating of the content of some of the points that mentioned in the research.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">There is a need for declaring the value that will be added by this research for science.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moustafa Mahmoud Rabie Mahmoud (Moustafa M Rabie)
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar University, Egypt