

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82136
Title of the Manuscript:	Glyphosate Induced Detrimental Changes In Enzymatic Antioxidants In Experimental Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Paper is well written.</p> <p>Objective is clear – determination of the deficiency about Glyphosate induced detrimental changes in enzymatic antioxidants in experimental rats</p> <p>Methodology well explain.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Need to expand explanation on literature part -extensive knowledge and research experience that has translate into high quality publications (14),(15),(16),(17),(18),(19),(20),(21),(22),(23),(24),(25),(26),(27),(28),(29),(30),(31),(32),(33). Divide into a few finding from a citation.</p> <p>Details of experimental need to be shows in table form i.e. group rats details need to shows in, diet information etc.</p> <p>Add a flowchart of experimental process</p> <p>critical review in graph needs to be added for overall results.</p> <p>Need to expand a conclusion and add way forward of this particular study.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Formatting of paper need to follow journals formatting. No consistent font and no caption for figure.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazirul Mubin Zahari
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Malaysia