

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82129
Title of the Manuscript:	PRELIMINARY PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT OF ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTI CHOLESTEROL ACTIVITY OF ETHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACT OF ACALYPHA INDICA LINN.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the Materials and Methods section, there is no mention of how the plant was extracted. Did you use 100% Ethanol, or an Ethanol/Water mixture. What part of the plant was used? Was the plant dried before extraction. How was the plant prepared. Was it ground up and how? Was extraction done at room temp or in hot ethanol using a Soxhlet extractor? You need to mention this.</p> <p>Check the volumes in the Methods section: For example: "10.0 ml of the extract was pipetted into a microtiter plate followed by the addition of 2000 µl of R1 reagent and 10 µl of cholesterol as sample." This would be a huge microtiter well to hold 2000 ul (or 2 ml) of liquid.</p> <p>Also, "standard consisted of 20 µL simvastatin and 2000 mL R1 reagent" Do you mean 2 liters of R1 reagent or 2000 ul?</p> <p>Check the Intro. I don't think this journal uses this format.</p> <p>Conclusions should be more complete.</p> <p>In the Intro. Explain why you are talking about simvastatin, anti-oxidants. Right now, those paragraphs are not connected to the goals of the paper.</p> <p>Cut back on the references. Only choose the most recent or important ones. The length of references are almost longer than the paper.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Be consistent. Use ml or µl. Don't use mL and ml in same document. Always add decimal point. Use 1.0 ml and not 1 ml. Use 2.0 ml and not 2000 µl. Are you going to use ug or µg. µl or ul. Choose one, don't use both.</p> <p>Be consistent in references. Use (Smith, 2019; Jones, 2000) and not (Smith, 2019), (Jones, 2000).</p> <p>Make sure the formatting and the fonts are consistent throughout the document. Some paragraphs are left justified as they should be, while others are justified on both margins.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lee Kozar
Department, University & Country	Stanford University, USA