

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82095
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF TOLTERODINE ON DEPRESSION, ANXIETY, LEARNING AND MEMORY IN MICE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the introduction it is necessary to explain the relationship between bladder activity with depression, anxiety and memory 2. In the method added Schematic design of experiment. 3. The results must be written in a table of measurement results from each experimental group so that it can display Mean \pm S.E.M = Mean values \pm Standard error of means and the results of statistical tests (anova and post hoc) 4. Conclusions and abstracts must be adapted to the results of the study 5. 32 of the 42 references used were more than 10 years old, some were even 44 years old (1977). Authors to use references for a maximum of 10 years, preferably from journal articles 6. Discussions should refer to the latest references, especially from articles in journals 7. The results of the complete review can be seen in the comments of the manuscript 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract is written with a structural method consisting of introduction, method, result, conclusion or in accordance with the format determined by the Journal. 2. Numbering and use of terms to be improved 3. Reference writing is recommended using a reference manager 4. The results of the complete review can be seen in the comments of the manuscript 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rini Indrati</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Poltekkes Kemenkes Semarang, Indonesia</i>