

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82023
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Knotless Barbed Sutures for Closure of Intraoral Incisions after Impacted Mandibular Third Molar Surgery: A Split Mouth Randomized Clinical Trial
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Patient with similar difficulty index were recruited": please define the index used for this evaluation • "Impacted mandibular third molars": it could be better to add a classification and type of impaction • The statistical analysis developed is only descriptive and should be implemented to evaluate the data associations. • The tables are unclear and it would be better to work them out from scratch to make them clearer • The images are of poor quality and should be improved. • The discussion is not very critical, it merely repeats what is reported in the results and a list of what is already present in the literature. • The references should be reviewed to eliminate errors and carelessness, in addition, they should all be reported with the same formatting and criteria following the newspaper's publishing rules. Many of them should be removed as they are very dated. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes but it need add the number of Ethical Committee approval "Approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Committee"	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vincenzo Grassia
Department, University & Country	University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy