

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81990
Title of the Manuscript:	THREE DIMENSIONAL (3D) ASSESMENT OF CLUBFOOT
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Minor revision regarding language: So, that the parents should take wise decision about their there child , an invitation letter from the department of orthopaedic would be given. Our treatment and diagnostic tool can be used as a a ideal way to treat this deformity in children</p> <p>Some phrases need reshaping: After the approval from the institutional ethics committee, the study will be conducted on 30 clubfeet who are less than 2 years old visiting the out-patient's department of AVBRH would be recruited. For instance After the approval from the institutional ethics committee, the study will be conducted on 30 recruited clubfeet subjects, who are less than 2 years old, visiting the out-patient's department of AVBRH.</p> <p>Enrollment, better than "enrollement" Please, use comma in the phrase, when needed: In short, they will be explained about the complications of participating in the study October, better with capital In many places, there are two spaces between words: To perform and develop</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This study protocol focuses on the THREE DIMENSIONAL (3D) ASSESMENT OF CLUBFOOT. This is a theme worthy of attention. The study presents interesting informations and is detailed. In the Introduction section, this congenital abnormality is generally presented.</p> <p>The importance of study is justified. The methodology, inclusion / exclusion criteria are described. The expected outcomes/results are projected.</p> <p>Further investigations on the theme would be required in the future, to complete this study, for a thorough image.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aurelia Magdalena Pisoschi
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Romania