

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81952
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC POTENTIAL OF ENDOGENOUS SEROTONIN REPLICA MEDIATED THROUGH β -CATENIN/WNT SIGNALING IN LUNG CANCER PREVENTION AND PROGNOSIS - AN IN-VITRO STUDY IN A549 CANCER CELL LINES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The information given into the manuscript is good and informative scientifically. However there are some suggestions/corrections to do in order to make the manuscript more attractive and informative.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Results section The author should bring back all figures from the end of this section to below their respective paragraphs in order to make the reading easier for the readers. ❖ Discussion section The author should try to answer why he obtained the observed results and also why his results are important. Do not simply restate the results. Highlight how the research contributes to the current knowledge in the field and mention the next steps or what remains. Feel free to explain why the results falsify current theories if that is the case. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ndoe Guiaro Marcellin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Institute of Medical Research and Medical Plants Studies, Cameroon</i>