

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_81911   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Astronaut era: Does your child patient accept your new look and practicality of using Behaviour Modification Techniques in COVID Times? |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The introduction of the paper does not dialogue between the theme proposed in the title and the objective outlined by the authors. It is not possible to understand the relationship between the literature and the justification of this work.</li> <li>• In general, there are many spelling and grammatical errors in the English language, which limit the quality and scientific rigor of the work. It is recommended that, if the native language of the authors is other than English, they should send their work to a qualified professional (A mixture of American and British English usage is perceived). At the same time, the authors need to review the spacing and formatting rules, as there are important issues to be addressed.</li> <li>• It is necessary to standardize the correct use of the acronym COVID-19, because on numerous occasions it appears in the text as "COVID".</li> <li>• The authors misuse the terms SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. It is important to know that COVID-19 is not transmitted, but the virus is. COVID-19 is the disease that the body acquires when infected with SARS-CoV-2.</li> <li>• The methodology described by the authors does not appear to be rigorous or in sufficient detail for future studies to validate it. It is not clear how participant loss bias was addressed, and the dependent variables of the study appear to suffer confounding biases. The statistical analysis proposed by the authors lacks veracity, which may jeopardize the validity of the results.</li> <li>• The discussion of the findings is weak and has little direct conversation with the international literature. This is an aspect that needs to be better addressed.</li> </ul> |  |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The presentation of the data is not adequate, since there are too many figures. Also, the corresponding discussion becomes, most of the time, repetitive to the information already indicated in the figures. I recommend that you make a table, in which the general values are grouped together. If the authors wish to use figures, I recommend limiting them to the most prominent findings of the study. Furthermore, it is a mandatory element to incorporate a title and corresponding source, for the presentation of figures.</li> <li>• You need to review the citation format for references, both within and outside the main text. It is worth remembering that the correct use of the citation format favors the correct identification of the bibliographic materials used in the research and, consequently, favors the recognition of the proper authors and research institutions.</li> </ul>  |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |   |  |

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Roberth Steven Gutiérrez-Murillo</b>           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>European University Of The Atlantic, Spain</b> |