

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JPRI _81902
Title of the Manuscript:	Neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Role in locoregionally advanced oral cancers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Insert ethics committee release number;</p> <p>Did all patients receive the same treatment? How was the comparison performed to verify the effect of NACT?</p> <p>Review this result according to the table presented: "Easier resection of tumors was achieved in 67.85% of the patients (among the operable cases), and 50% (among non-operable cases) were operable after chemotherapy (Table 2)."</p> <p>Discussion: is there any justification for the prevalence of oral cancer in the oral-alveolar complex?</p> <p>You need to improve the discussion, discuss and scientifically justify your results. Avoid repeating result in the discussion.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This is an original research that aimed to performed to determine the effect of NACT on operability (T4b and N3 stages) and resectability (T4a) in advanced carcinoma. The research is relevant and presents interesting results, but the discussion needs to be adjusted, and to present justifications consistent with the results obtained in the research, and not contain the repetition of results.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernanda Miguel de Andrade
Department, University & Country	Faculdade de Integração do Sertão – FIS, Brazil