

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81858
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Covid- 19 on general surgical practice in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>A relevant topic. Efforts have been put in to draft the paper but seems insufficient. Poor structure of the abstract. Methodology incompletely described. Ethical approval not mentioned. No tables to show major quantitative findings. P value described without numbers and chi-square value. Prior to lockdown, post lockdown, wellbeing practices &amp; monetary effect should be sub-part of results and should not be numbered consecutively. Discussion part need logical restructuring. More time and effort given to the manuscript can make it a very good paper.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>Poor grammar. Sentence framing is poor and at times require reframing. Words seems to be replaced globally which inadvertently introduced spelling errors (e.g. Walk=March?!!)</b>  Author need to read the article first. It seems the manuscript has been submitted without any thorough checking. Author need to go through the article, revise, edit, reframe sentences, rearrange logically and try to rectify all errors so far as possible	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally journal should check for plagiarism before submitting the article for review. As a reviewer, plagiarism is not checked and review is done focusing the scientific dimensions.	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jay K Sheth
Department, University & Country	AMC MET Medical College, Gujarat University, India