

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81826
Title of the Manuscript:	DERMATOPHYTOSIS: AN EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDY IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTER IN RURAL SIND
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The materials and method section is poorly written. The materials should be well-described with mention of their manufacturers. Also the methodology should be clearly described explaining the source of the methods, any modifications made and a justification for the suitability of the adopted methods. There are no statistical evaluation of the results presented in terms of significant differences between the male and female subjects, the age groups compared and the different infections evaluated.</p> <p>Again, there is no mention of ethical approval granted for this study or if the consent of the participants were sought before the study commenced.</p> <p>Some of the references used in the comparison of the data generated from this study are more than 20 years old. It is recommended that the results from more recent studies should be used in the comparison. Some of these are highlighted in the manuscript.</p> <p>The study enrolled very few children participants and elderly subjects >60 in comparison to the other age groups. This type of comparison may be lopsided and could adversely affect the outcome of the study. It is highly recommended that the data obtained from the children and elderly group should be excluded.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper has numerous grammatical errors; some of which have been highlighted in the text.</p> <p>20% was detected. Plagiarism result is attached.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This paper needs serious modifications: the aim is not very weighty as the adverse effects of dermatophytosis is lacking; the economic burden of the disease is not mentioned and several related consequences of the disease. The implications are not discussed and what problem does the study intend to solve from the data generated.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes! The authors did not state that ethical approval was granted for this study or the consent of the participants was obtained.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johnson-Ajinwo, Okiemute Rosa
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria