

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81813
Title of the Manuscript:	Sequences of S-surface of human COVID-19
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Sanger Sequence analysis of the Human Coronavirus variants. All 10 samples that amplified with both the S-Covid-19 and S-SARS- CoV-2 IBS_mS 2 primers clustered with genotype in table (2). In our study, the Sanger Sequence analysis was used the NCBI-BLAST homology sequence identity (99.92-78%) , while in other studies, whole genomes were used Maria <i>et al</i> ., 2 020 the B1.1 variant was isolated in Europe and is considered to be more dominant, as the sequence showed the presence of a mutation in the spike protein due to a change in the amino acid sequence of SARS-CoV-2 Siena-1/2020 has been placed in GenBank underneath the succession no. MT531537. (part is OK.).</p> <p>1-How long does the virus that causes COVID-19 last on surfaces? Noted on the table. 2- Which shelf-stable fruits and vegetables must wi be purchased for the COVID-19 quarantine?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Change or improve the title 2- Figures and table need to be revised and optimized. 3- A thorough review of the literature is necessary as this area has been extensively studied. 4- Expanded introduction. 5- Please improve your introduction on the following article https://doi.org/10.1038/s41401-020-0485-4 6- Lack of conclusion 	
Optional/General comments	The present works need major revision	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed El Idrissi
Department, University & Country	Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Morocco