

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_81699   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Mucormycosis of maxillofacial region and it's management: a review</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Review Article</b>   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The abstract is very poor, if it is a review article it should be mentioned, generally the abstracts have a limit of length between 250 and 300 words, the author used no more than 100 for his abstract, which does not generate greater interest in the reader. Mucormycosis is not secondary to covid, we must remember that it is an opportunistic infection.</li> <li>- The title is not specific, nor is it delimited, it only mentions that it is a review of mucormycosis but in the abstract and in the body of the manuscript it is mentioned that it is in relation to COVID, therefore I suggest the author modify the title, since otherwise there would be no correlation between what the manuscript says and the title.</li> <li>- Refer to the tables in the text, since they are neither described nor referred to.</li> <li>- The author mentions that "some cases must refer to the maxillofacial surgeon", in fact in all cases of mucormycosis the maxillofacial surgeon must participate, since the treatment is multidisciplinary therefore different medical specialties have to intervene in the treatment of the patient.</li> <li>- The article has a short length to be a review article, it must be improved if you want to publish it because it does not have enough information, it is not new, it is not something new. It is a known topic for which there are even more reasons for discussing the author.</li> <li>- Not well structured</li> <li>- discussion is missing</li> <li>- Regarding management, he mentions very few options, I suggest you look for more bibliography, there is a lot in virtual libraries and databases of repertoires of medical and dental journals that abound on the subject.</li> </ul> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | Too many keywords, I would choose the most important ones, they are usually 4-8   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |  |

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Alejandra Giselle Juárez Rebollar</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Mexico</b>                            |