

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81675
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychometric characteristics of PSS-10 in cardiovascular patients
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title: Please add the full title of the scale and its acronym has to be placed in brackets: "Psychometric characteristics of the Perceived Stress Scale – 10 (PSS-10) in cardiovascular patients"</p> <p>Keywords: instead of "psychometric, characteristics" please add "reliability, validity" as two keywords.</p> <p>Materials and methods (the last sentence): "dividing the total by total items" has to be "dividing the total by the total number of items"</p> <p>Where and when was the study carried out? In which country?</p> <p>If ethical approval of the study was not obtained, please state and explain this.</p> <p>Results & Discussion:</p> <p>Figure 1: Please display the data in the tabular form</p> <p>Table 1: Please delete because it is not common to create a table for displaying a single number (figure) such as this coefficient. Accordingly, please change the number in the caption of the next table and in the main text as well (i.e., Table 2 becomes Table 1).</p> <p>Table 2: Please delete the following: "Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis".</p> <p>a. 2 components extracted.</p> <p>Please name the factors you have extracted.</p> <p>Please report the percent of the explained variance by the two extracted factors as well as the eigenvalues!</p> <p>Please add a graphical representation (a diagram) of the model tested within the PCFA.</p> <p>I did not notice that the floor and ceiling effects had been tested. Could you please provide the details of the statistical analysis conducted in order to test for these effects?</p> <p>Please separate Results and Discussion.</p> <p>Limitations of the study as well as implications for further research in this field has to be added within the Discussion section.</p> <p>Conclusion (in both the Abstract and the main text): "The current study confirmed the psychometric characteristics validation of the PSS-</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	10” – Please, delete the word “validation”. Proofreading of the manuscript has to be done! ***The reviewer respectfully requests a revised version after the author(s) have made the outlined changes to the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Results & Discussion (the first paragraph): If you do not start a sentence with a number, please do not use the numbers spelled out: e.g. “forty-five” has to be “45”.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> One or two sentence(s) about the ethical approval for the study has to be added to the Materials & Methods section.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Selman Repišti
Department, University & Country	University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina