

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81622
Title of the Manuscript:	Deviated Nasal Septum in Left Side Nose: A Case Report
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a clinical case study reporting the situation of a patient. It is of interest and can be of clinical value; however, the significance and practical implications provided by this case study are not explicit enough. Authors are suggested to make them more explicit, putting a stronger emphasis on how the reported case can provide insights to bring changes to the current practice or treatment. In addition, the link between the case study and the "Discussion" section is not very clear. It gives an impression that the "Discussion" section is more like a literature review and is not directly relevant to the case study. Authors are suggested to work on the transition from the case study to the "Discussion" section. Finally, authors are suggested to edit and polish the writing of this case study. Some sentences are not easy to be understood. I believe upon language editing, the message conveyed by this article will be made clearer.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wing-Fu Lai
Department, University & Country	The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Shenzhen), China