

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81612
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of oral health related knowledge and practices among support staff at a Dental institution: a cross sectional survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I want to congratulate the author for the excellent research, as it is extremely important to emphasize oral hygiene. Oral health makes us also acquire health to our body, after all, teeth we can also say are the postcard of the human being.	
Minor REVISION comments	Very relevant topic, congratulations	
Optional/General comments	<p>1- In the title, it would be interesting if the author changed the term "ENTRE A", by the term "DEVELOPED BY THE", to give more emphasis and meaning.</p> <p>2- In the abstract, observe how the text should be inserted, only in a single paragraph, with simple and justified spacing.</p> <p>3- - In the introduction, for better understanding, the author is suggested to report in a few words how the performance exercised by the institution's team would be.</p> <p>4- Still in the introduction, describe what the patient can obtain if he does not perform adequate oral hygiene.</p> <p>5- In the methodology in the first paragraph, the author is suggested to insert the type of study, which would be "field study", type of approach and inclusion and exclusion criteria.</p> <p>6 - In the methodology questionnaire - a subjective or objective questionnaire was inserted.</p> <p>7- In the conclusion, report a little about the general objective of the work, after that, report on the importance of oral hygiene and, in conclusion, explain the findings of the results.</p> <p>8 - In the references, insert the DOI of each article and the access date.</p> <p>9 - Insert the tables in the body of the work in the results item. Avoid putting tables at the end of the work.</p> <p>10- Observe the formatting of the work according to the rules of the newspaper.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monica Barbosa de Sousa Freitas
Department, University & Country	Florida Christian University, United States