

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81575
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, AWARENESS AND PERCEPTION ON BURNING MOUTH SYNDROME AMONG DENTAL STUDENTS-A SURVEY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	N/A	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT Abstract content well written but it should be a continuous paragraph without sub headings. Confirm with the publisher on their standard format. If possible, make it a continuous phrase(Remove the subheadings) (See comments on reviewed manuscript)</p> <p>STUDY DESIGN Clearly indicate how a fair sample was achieved in your sampling. If possible, state the place where the data collection was carried out. (See comments on reviewed manuscript)</p> <p>DATA ANALYSIS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State the version of spss data analysis software • How was reliability checked? Explain briefly <p>(See comments on reviewed manuscript)</p> <p>DISCUSSIONS Some information here falls under literature review. If the format of the publisher does not have literature review, I recommend you should include in Introduction. Discussions should only be from your research and may be compare with published literature. (See comments on reviewed manuscript)</p> <p>GRAMMAR AND SENTENCE STRUCTURE Have highlighted some within the reviewed manuscript. Go through and accept the tracked changes and even improve further.</p>	
Optional/General comments	Recommended to be published as a Survey	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Kipkemoi Bett
Department, University & Country	Moi University, Kenya