

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81566
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ANTIOXIDANT AND XANTHINE OXIDASE INHIBITORY POTENTIAL OF METHANOLIC ROOT EXTRACT OF ACORUS CALAMUS- AN IN VITRO STUDY
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction: In last paragraph (highlighted in red) the given point as well as cited references (from 11 to 30) are highly irrelevant and must be omitted. The above cited references must be deleted from reference section also. Spacing between words should be checked throughout the manuscript...	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: In Background section, the highlighted (red marked field) sentences should be modified and be little more coherent. Introduction: In the second paragraph (red marked field) sentence revision is needed (must be little more specific) Materials and Methods: Preparation of extract should be little more explanative (for example how long the plant powder was soaked in methanol etc). Statistical Analysis: First sentence should be modified. Results and Discussion: Figure 1 legend should be slightly modified. In DPPH radical scavenging assay the IC50 value looks somewhere near 300 µg/ml (but the author mentioned 210 µg/ml). Please have a look at it. References: Standard formatting is needed.	
Optional/General comments	Abstract: In Result section, uniformity should be maintained in mentioning the word IC ₅₀	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Athesh
Department, University & Country	Srimad Andavan Arts And Science College, India