

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_81554  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PREVALENCE OF ESBL IN Klebsiella Sp. AND ITS ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE PATTERN FROM VARIOUS CLINICAL SAMPLES IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is lot of grammatical error in the article.</li> <li>2. Ethical clearance file number is not mentioned in the paper.</li> <li>3. Material and methods should be concise as identification of the isolates is general and reference can be given for the technique used.</li> <li>4. Year of reference should be mentioned in the manuscript wherever written....et al (?).</li> <li>5. In material and methods, sentence should be in past as the authors have written....will be</li> <li>6. Either table or chart should be used to explain the data.</li> <li>7. In section 3.3.2.2, antibiotics of which company is used should be mentioned.</li> <li>8. It's not clear in the section of materials and methods, that patients included in the study were hospitalized or outdoor patients.</li> <li>9. Discussion part need to be thoroughly checked.</li> </ol> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |   |

### **PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ethical clearance file number is not mentioned in the paper.</li> </ol> |   |

### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Meenakshi Singh                               |
| Department, University & Country | Punjab Biotechnology Incubator. Mohali, India |