

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81541
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes and Management of Tooth Discoloration
Type of the Article	Narrative Literature Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The authors have to write the purpose of the study and something about the methodology in the abstract.</p> <p>Where are the key-words?</p> <p>Is fundamental write the objective of the paper on the abstract and in the last sentence of introduction</p> <p>What is the methodology of the review? Which criteria the authors used to search and include the articles on the text?</p> <p>Some paragraph during the text are so large for just one reference. I suggest that authors put more references.</p> <p>The last paragraph before the conclusion doesn't have a reference.</p> <p>Check the journal's referencing rules and correct the errors in references list.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I have marked all the changes I believe are necessary with comments and grammatical suggestions throughout the text. Please, send to the authors the archive with my suggestions.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Congratulations on your manuscript.</p> <p>The theme is very interesting and relevant. I learned a lot of new content while reviewing. Although, you should make some adjustments in the text to be able to publish it.</p> <p>In my opinion, it is best to assess plagiarism after authors have made the changes requested by reviewers.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Larissa Moreira Pinto
Department, University & Country	Federal University Of Pelotas, Brazil