

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81411
Title of the Manuscript:	Restless leg syndrome in dormitory and its relationship with sleep quality.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The idea to analyse RLS Symptoms and sleep quality of people living in dorms is interesting, since there could be some extrinsic factors involved.</p> <p>In methods the data about inclusion/exclusion criteria are missing. It is not mentioned how the RLS was diagnosed and which scales were used to analyse the severity of RLS and sleep quality.</p> <p>Is it difficult to understand who the figures 1 and 2 were made since most questions require a qualitative answer, but in the figure there is only the option of a yes/no answer. The questions used in the tables use sometimes the first person, sometimes the second person.</p> <p>The discussion in the article is a very general thought and has little relation to the results and the title of the article.</p> <p>The article needs major revisions in order to be published.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The article needs a thorough English editing, which is compulsory before publication of the article. In the introduction a lot of information about RLS is given without literature references. Please add the missing references.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucia Muntean
Department, University & Country	Paracelsus Elena Hospital, Germany