

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81380
Title of the Manuscript:	METHOD DEVELOPMENT FOR THE SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF METFORMIN (MET), SAXAGLIPTIN (SXG) AND DAPAGLIFLOZIN (DGF) IN MARKETED FORMULATION USING UV VIS SPECTROPHOTOMETER
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors of the publication undertook the effort of simultaneous determination of three components of an antidiabetic drug by the spectrophotometric method. The methodology is precisely presented and the results are described and presented in the pictures and tables. Validation is carried out according to the compulsory rules. However, this is a completely new method of determining, and I think the values of a limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) should be also given. In my opinion, in tables, should be used generally accepted expressions such as intra- and inter-day precision instead of „day-to-day” and so on. Moreover, there is no value of intra-day precision. It should be if validation is supposed to be completed.</p> <p>However, I do not feel that I am the right person to evaluate the English level of the written publication.</p> <p>After minor changes, I recommend the work for printing.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Katarzyna Bober-Majnusz
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland