

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81356
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Proton Pump Inhibitor Therapy on Outcomes in COVID-19 Patients: A Retrospective, Multicenter Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The authors present their preliminary findings in two patient populations; one group using PPI and the control group having standard treatment alone. My comments are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This is a debated issue as the authors state at the manuscript. However, there is one discriminating issue for a COVID patient that especially at the beginning of the pandemics, low molecular weight heparin and associated anti aggregating and anticoagulant agents were liberally administered in order to decrease the risk of micro and macrovascular coagulation complications. How do the authors comment on this issue? Is this an objective study group in this sense? - The parts of the manuscript should be improved. The last paragraph of the introduction section would better be placed at discussion. Moreover, the discussion section is too short. The main part that a reader will pay attention is the discussion section in such a paper - Please give all the variables (such as age of the patients) as mean value \pm standard deviation. - I recommend the authors to read a couple of examples at the literature to revise their manuscript <p>Thank you</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arda Özyüksel
Department, University & Country	Biruni University, Turkey