

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81318
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatic Hydatidosis Management
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In my opinion, the title of the article is not sufficiently supported by the data presented in the article. The purpose of the article is not presented, the summary seems to be a comparative review article. Also, no research method is presented to support the topic of the title. The material in the article is presented in a superficial mode; there are abbreviations that do not explain what it means (for example, ERCP, PAIR, RCT) although we can guess. Classifications are presented without indicating the source (for example, Table 1), bibliographic references are incorrect (e.g. Franchi et al.). Not least, incorrect expressions are used (e.g. No sexual orientation.)	
Minor REVISION comments	In my opinion, I think it is not the most useful approach to insert google images in a scientific article.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adrian Carabineanu
Department, University & Country	Victor Babeş University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania