

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81315
Title of the Manuscript:	“Comparative Evaluation of The Influence Of Single Preoperative Antibiotic Dose Of Amoxicillin(1gm) And Postoperative Antibiotic Dose Of Amoxicillin Drug(500mg) Regimen On Postoperative Outcome And Implant Stability In Single Tooth Dental Implant Surgery: Randomized controlled trial”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article


General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Table1 is missing: Comparison of mean rank score between group 1 and 2 on day 2 and day 7</p> <p>illegible table 3-some parts are covered by some others</p> <p>Esposito at all – in the main text are from 2010 and in literature from 2013? What is proper answer?</p> <p>Laskin et al.(2000) (please add number 9),Pyysalo et al (number???), Ata-Ali et al and Escalante et al (number???)– no numbers in the main text</p> <p>Check and improve the number from literature and connect them to the main text, please</p> <p>. Prophylactic Antibiotic Regimens in Oral Implantology: Rationale and Protocol Randolph R. Resnik and Carl Misch, IMPLANT DENTISTRY /VOLUME 17, NUMBER 2 2008 142-149- first authors, then title, if you are writing all journal in small letters forma this to the rest See https://libguides.reading.ac.uk/citing-references/citationexamples</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Alginate impression material Imprint (Producer, Country)</p> <p>Articulator –(producer , country) Betadin 9 producer, country) Other materials used in this study no producer. The same with implant (producer, type, other details are missing?) This is very important information</p> <p>Penguin RFA (producer, country)</p> <p>given.There - given. There</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>One can reflect on the graphical division of these two groups of patients to make it more readable</p>  <pre> graph TD A[Group of patients] --> B[1 group; teatment:.....] A --> C[2 group treatment:.....] A --> D[excluded] </pre>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zbigniew Raszewski
Department, University & Country	Spofa Dental, Czech Rep.