

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81264
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELING THE FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH BMI AMONG TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS PATIENTS: A HYBRID MODEL APPROACH
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introductory part of this work ended without the objective of the work. This must be inserted as a prelude to the study.</li> <li>2. Methodology section is a report of what was done and therefore should be reported in past tense.</li> <li>3. There were more discussions in the result section than in the discussion section itself. The two should be separated.</li> <li>4. Discussion section should compare the results of this study with previous results, either a hybrid or single approach or both, unless it was a novel study.</li> <li>5. It should also discuss other approaches where the study has advantage ie why this study should be adopted. Discussion section should be re-written.</li> <li>6. Referencing is not uniformly written. Some article titles were in inverted comas while some are not. Journal names should be uniformly written, either in standard form for indexing or in full. Even some textbook refs have DOIs. Author(s) should contact the journal's guide for authors.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Words, phrases and sentences in red should be expunged.</li> <li>2. Words, phrases and sentences in green should be added.</li> <li>3. Words, phrases and sentences in yellow should be re-written to make the more readable meaningful</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This work needs English editing to deliver the message of the study very well.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ogbodo Sylvester Ogbonna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Enugu State University Of Science &amp; Technology, Nigeria</b>