

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81150
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPATIBILTY STUDIES OF RIVAROXABAN WITH THE EXCIPIENTS USING DSC AND FTIR SPECTROPHOTOMETRY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments Compulsory major revision needed in order for article to be published	<p>The article includes the Compatibility studies were performed for rivaroxaban with crospovidone, sodium starch glycolate, magnesium stearate, talc, microcrystalline cellulose, aerosil, HPMC K100 M, croscarmellose sodium.</p> <p>The data explain well via FTIR and DSC. However, the article is not a scientific article it looks like report.</p> <p>Abstract need to be rewrite. Please modify the article with well introduction (elaborate the formulation need, drug and pharmaceutical excipients.</p> <p>The methodology part is somehow well written. Give the references (inside citation if any) Results explains the data well. Discussion needs to be modified or upgraded with various formulation. Lack of sufficient References. Convert this well conducted experiment study into scientific article. After the changes article could be publish into prestigious journal.</p> <p>I would like to see the article after revision otherwise not accepted as article need drastic modification in writing.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gul-E-Saba Chaudhry
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia