

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81122
Title of the Manuscript:	FREQUENCY OF PITUITARY ADENOMA AMONG THE PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC HEADACHE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>"Background: Antero-superior, PG lies in vicinity of optic chiasm and antero-inferiorly, it is related with posterior wall of sphenoid bone. PG is consisting of three lobes: Anterior, intermediate and posterior and their secretion are regulated by hypothalamus". Please, this sentence is not clear and there is a lot of confusion. Reword the sentence! Furthermore, there are some problems concern references that are incorrect: for example, the sentences have references wrong: if the authors talk about WHO classification of Tumours of SNC, they should insert correct reference! Please, check entire manuscript, because this is an important issue.</p> <p>Finally, I ask the Authors to increase the Discussion, with other important information relating to the procedures for choosing the surgical treatment, the possible complications and I recommend a careful modification of the bibliographic entries that are mentioned incorrectly in the main text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Always name the word in full, before writing it as an acronym! for example PG. Furthermore, check the uppercase and lowercase letters of the words: they are jumbled!</p> <p>I have correct some issues about typos and/or spaces between the letters: please keep this formatting.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gerardo
Department, University & Country	University of Bari "Aldo Moro", Italy