

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81118
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-reporting of Medication administration errors among Nurses in Qatar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Because the collecting data of this survey done by online source (survey monkey) the author should mentioned about how the author reducing the bias regarding the answer from a participant in the data collection part. There is not enough information about the reason why the author chooses 487 nurses from 8 hospital by purposive sampling method. The author needs included to many tables and figure in this study, so I think you should choose tables and figures according to the purpose of the study. I cannot see clearly how the author answer the primary aim of the study What is the reason from the author concluded about the most reasonable part in the page 7 paragraph 2?	
Minor REVISION comments	In the introduction part, the author needs to mention about the problem that faced in the area of study in Qatar regarding MAE. The author should focus discussing the result of the study that related to the aims of the study briefly	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riksa Wibawa Resna
Department, University & Country	Banten of Health Science Higher Education (STIKes Banten), Indonesia