

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81082
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ORAL HEALTH CONDITION AMONG THE PREGNANT FEMALES AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL OF HYDERABAD, SINDH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In the abstract section, it is better to write an analysis of the situation regarding dental and oral diseases from the world, the country</li><li>2. In the introduction, it should also be written about the impact of pregnant women not maintaining their dental health on the fetus they are carrying, on the health of the pregnant woman</li><li>3. In the research methodology, the abstract should be written about the sampling technique, whether using probability sampling or non-probability sampling, then also the population, how many samples?</li></ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	in each presentation of the frequency distribution table it should be accompanied by an interpretation of the table	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	in each presentation of the frequency distribution table, it should be accompanied by data visualization using graphs, diagrams, histograms and pie carts	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. There is. if there is already ethical clearance, it is better if the researcher must strictly adhere to the articles contained in the ethical principles	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wuri Ratna Hidayani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>STIKes Respati, Indonesia</b>