

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81058
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Conventional and Microwave Bone Decalcification Methods by using 10% Nitric Acid: A Research Protocol.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I finished the manuscript review and considered that the paper might not be accepted as presented. There are many typographical mistakes. Therefore, it is necessary to correct all the text. The correct abbreviation of hour and hours is h and not hr or hrs. All references should be revised to be standardized. The authors only proposed a research protocol, but this protocol was not tested.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marsen Garcia Pinto Coelho
Department, University & Country	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil