

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81032
Title of the Manuscript:	Protocol for establishing the norms of total tooth material for Central Indian population.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. INCLUSION CRITERIA: SHOULD BE MORE SPECIFIC. AGE GROUP CAN BE MADE MORE SPECIFIC OR YOU CAN MENTION THAT THE SAMPLES WHO HAVE ALL THEIR PERMANENT TEETH ERUPTED EXCLUDING THE SECOND AND 3RD MOLARS.</p> <p>2. EXCLUSION CRITERIA – CHECK POINT NO 5. ARE SUCH STUDY MODELS INCLUDED OR EXCLUDED?</p> <p>3. ESTABLISHING A NORM DOES NOT GUARANTEE THAT EXTRACTION CAN BE AVOIDED. IT CAN MAKE THE TREATMENT PLANNING DECISION MORE SPECIFIC AND BASED ON SCIENTIFICALLY VALIDATED RESULTS. RECHECK THAT PHRASE.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	HAS GRAMMATICAL ERRORS, EG: IN THE EXPECTED RESULTS SECTION I HAVE HIGHLIGHTED THE AREAS WHICH NEED REVISION	
Optional/General comments	STUDY CAN BE MADE BETTER IF YOU ESTABLISH BOLTON NORMS FOR THE TTM ESTABLISHED IN YOUR STUDY POPULATION AND PROVIDE A NORMATIVE RANGE SIMILAR TO THE BOLTONS RATIO. Eg: For Bolton it is 77.2 or 91.3. You may establish a new ration for your population or study sample.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chelza X
Department, University & Country	Kerala University of Health Sciences, India