

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81016
Title of the Manuscript:	ACCURACY IN THE DIMENSION OF EXTRACTED TOOTH WITH INTRAORAL PERIAPICAL RADIOGRAPH: A COMPARATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is a comparative study on the ACCURACY IN THE DIMENSION OF EXTRACTED TOOTH WITH INTRAORAL PERIAPICAL RADIOGRAPH.</p> <p>The Authors made a great work in terms of methodology and the paper sounds scientific and well written.</p> <p>However, some improvements are mandatory before acceptance.</p> <p>I believe that the introduction should be increased, in particular by describing the current possibility of having 3D images and renderings able to offer many information and reliable measurement for the planning of implant surgery, distance from noble structures as in the surgery of the lower third molars, and planning of orthognathic surgery and orthodontics, as underlined in this articles I suggest for enriching introduction from these point of view: "Perrotti G, Baccaglione G, Clauser T, Scaini R, Grassi R, Testarelli L, Reda R, Testori T, Del Fabbro M. Total Face Approach (TFA) 3D Cephalometry and Superimposition in Orthognathic Surgery: Evaluation of the Vertical Dimensions in a Consecutive Series. Methods Protoc. 2021 May 18;4(2):36. doi: 10.3390/mps4020036." "Alhammadi MS, Al-Mashraqi AA, Alnami RH, Ashqar NM, Alamir OH, Halboub E, Reda R, Testarelli L, Patil S. Accuracy and Reproducibility of Facial Measurements of Digital Photographs and Wrapped Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) Photographs. Diagnostics (Basel). 2021 Apr 23;11(5):757. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11050757." Materials and Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> what technical indications can you provide on the device with which the x-rays were taken? Always the same for all? <p>In the Results and Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Adarsh K et al [1] in 2018 conducted a study to evaluate the accuracy and reliability of tooth length measurements using conventional and cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) imaging techniques and reported that the tooth, root, and crown lengths was found to be significantly different when measured with the Vernier caliper and on CBCT, OPG, and Intraoral periapical radiograph." Moreover, in the aforementioned article, it is indicated how this same consideration can be reported if we also insert an overlap with the patient's photographs. (Alhammadi et Al...). <p>The discussion of the results should also be more developed.</p> <p>Dear Authors, You should add conflict of interest, if any.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Conclusions are concise and clear.</p> <p>Bibliography should be formatted respecting the journal's requirements, no improper</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>citations are evidenced, but it is limited and should be increased.</p> <p>Figures and labels are clear and easy to comprehend.</p> <p>English is clear and easy to understand.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rodolfo Reda
Department, University & Country	Sapienza University of Rome, Italy