

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_81013
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DESIRABLE FETUS GENDER AND TYPE OF CONSUMED DIET: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction and literature review did not highlight any relationship between desirable foetus gender and type of diet consumed. There is need to do that. 2. State and describe the study area. 3. Figure 2 should have key to describe the result displayed. 4. Analyse and present data on preference for sex of baby. Compare data generated with data from other regions or parts of the world. 5. Data generated in this study should be compared extensively with data from other parts of the country, same region or other region(s) of the world. 6. Statistical data was not adequately presented and discussed. Kindly do justice to that. 7. State the ethical clearance reference number in the manuscript. 8. Conclusion should reflect statistical data and compared with other studies from same region. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Write up should be in reporting format. 2. Data analysis section; revise the last sentence as it does not reflect the content of this study. 3. Language use need to be improved as there are minor grammatical errors 4. The method described in the abstract does not reflect the title of the manuscript. Please revise. 5. Description of the study design does not reflect the content of the research. Please revise. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zakariya, Ali Muhammad
Department, University & Country	Sule Lamido University Kafin Hausa, Nigeria