

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80975
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychological Impact of the COVID 19 Pandemic among College Students: A Web Based Cross Sectional Survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As the present study is a psychological research, please use APA format in presenting the literature review, methodology and referencing. I have highlighted in red colour 2. Related to the paper <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Abstract: try to give a more precise abstract b. Introduction and literature review: try to enrich the literature review by including research related to stress, anxiety and depression. And, scales and assessments on assessing the levels of anxiety and depression. Any studies related to the impact of Covid-19 so far in different countries or regions. c. Methodology: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Explain more on the use of instruments of the present study (GAD-7 & PHQ-9), report the validity and reliability of all instruments. ii. I cannot find any statistical report related to the results using chi-square. Learnt the way on how to report it. iii. Learn the proper format in presenting tables in APA format. d. Results and discussion section: Try to fully use all the findings in the result section in the discussion section. e. The discussion section is relatively written better than the other sections and was back up by some research evidence. Can cite more previous research evidence to enrich the discussion. The level of discussion can be deeper so as to make the paper to be more interesting. f. Conclusion: Can write about the direction for future study in the conclusion section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	nil	
Optional/General comments	<p>On the whole, a fluent piece of work. The author was brave enough to conduct a simple study to assess the psychological well-being among the college students based on convenience sampling. I wonder where were the students from, let say in India. It would be interesting to conduct a similar study or a parallel study as Cao and his associates (2020) in China. The author can do more cross-country comparison reports. More insightful discussion would be better.</p> <p>However, the author should avoid plagiarism and should polish the paper, check the grammatical mistakes so as to make it into a piece of fluent and interesting work.</p> <p>Try to use APA format in presenting a psychological paper.</p> <p>If the author revised the paper, I hope to read the revised version.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wai Chun Cherry
Department, University & Country	Education University of Hong KongHong Kong