

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80975
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychological Impact of the COVID 19 Pandemic among College Students: A Web Based Cross Sectional Survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title is so interesting. But the actual implementation of the paper was not assessed with the right questionnaire. If the assessment is so psychological impact of covid-19, why do the author(s) used the GAD-7 scale? This scale is used to assess only generalized anxiety disorder not inclusive for the whole anxiety reaction. The best option is Beck's Anxiety Inventory (BAI) to assess the level of psychological anxiety reaction. Use the link of these papers for your interpretation and writing format https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/sinet.v44i1.7 and https://doi.org/10.18502/ijps.v16i4.7226</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Add the Chi-square to your abstract part. ✓ Indicate the clear-cut point for the psychological impact of covid-19 that is considered as a problem for the study participants. ✓ The author(s) need to explain the validity and reliability of the tools. ✓ Can the GAD-7 assess the psychological reaction of all domains of anxiety? ✓ Why did the author(s) not compute the statistical associations of the variables using either linear regression or logistic regression? ✓ Even though the title is interesting, I have not seen any scientific contribution from the result. ✓ 	
Minor REVISION comments	The author is/are somewhat they are reckless please revise the writing error throughout the documents.	
Optional/General comments	Please attach the manuscript for the author(s) to edit and correct accordingly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdissa Boka Dirriba
Department, University & Country	Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia