

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80949
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF ORAL HEALTH STATUS AND TREATMENT NEEDS IN AUTISTIC AND NON-AUTISTIC CHILDREN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Use of terms like “our” and “we” to be replaced with “the present study”.</p> <p>Introduction- Last line “This study focus on the pertinent dental education programs can significantly alleviate dental issues and improve the quality of autistic kids” – to be reframed.</p> <p>Materials & Methods – 1) <i>We performed an institutionally.</i></p> <p>2) <i>We also gathered additional patient information via a questionnaire.</i></p> <p>3) Inclusion & exclusion criteria to be specified.</p> <p>4) Age group- 6 to 14 years: Has eruption status of Maxillary central incisors been accounted into, as these teeth erupt between 7-8 years and OHI index requires fully erupted teeth.</p> <p>4) recording of DMFT index in the mixed dentition period needs to be elaborated.</p> <p>5) Grading criteria to be specified in material & methods.</p> <p>6) No mention of all the parameters being evaluated</p> <p>Discussion: Citation of references to be improvised.</p> <p>Results: Inference of results is vague.</p> <p>References: To be written in Vancouver style.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umme Azher
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India