

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80931
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF ANTI-DIABETIC POTENTIAL OF AQUEOUS SEED EXTRACTS OF MOMORDICA CHARANTIA, SEED KERNEL EXTRACT OF MANGIFERA INDICA AND ITS HERBAL FORMULATION - AN IN VITRO STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ numbering the pages ▪ Add the study area in the keywords ▪ The introduction part is well structured ▪ please follow the instructions to authors recommended by the journal: In the text, citations of the different references should be indicated by the reference number in brackets ▪ Each figure must be accompanied with a title just below before starting the description of the results it contains ▪ Starting from introduction with section 1. subsections should be numbered (for example 2.1 (then 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2, etc.), up to three levels. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is preferable to put the table and the figure right after its quotation for a good reading. ▪ See instructions to authors, normally the article architecture should follow the structure recommended. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Manuscript is well written. Few minor corrections required as mentioned in the manuscript. ▪ The well-done manuscript follows a logical scientific methodology. Except that it is still necessary to check the instructions to the authors for the distribution of the axes of documents. ▪ you must follow the instructions to authors (see template of article in the journal) 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Talbi Fatima Zahra
Department, University & Country	Hassan First University, Morocco