

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80868
Title of the Manuscript:	Protocol of Comparative Evaluation of Efficacy of Kulattha Gutika with Atorvastatin in the Management of Dyslipidemia (Medoroga)
Type of the Article	Experimental

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title reflects the content of the manuscript being presented. However, it can be modified to read as;</p> <p>Protocol for the Comparative Evaluation of Efficacy of Kulattha Gutika and Atorvastatin in the Management of Dyslipidemia (Medoroga).</p> <p>or</p> <p>Comparative Evaluation of Efficacy of Kulattha Gutika and Atorvastatin in the Management of Dyslipidemia (Medoroga): A Research Protocol.</p> <p>The article is well written with the content reflecting the intent of the author. However, I'm concern about the sample size of the study. I'm not too comfortable that, the result of the study could have enough power for a valid conclusion to be drawn. I suggest that the author look at this and review this aspect, otherwise it is a well planned research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I think the English meaning of the Hindi words used in the text should come first then the Hindi meaning in bracket because the research is meant for an International audience that may not be familiar with the Hindi language.</p> <p>Spelling and grammar check need to be performed.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The author has already obtained ethical approval for the research. The author have in addition, tried to follow all the recommended guidelines for human experimentation in the study.	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saleh Idris
Department, University & Country	Bayero University Kano, Nigeria