

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80851
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychological Effects on Covid-19
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Review of "Psychological Effects on Covid-19"</p> <p>I think the work assessing psychological effect on COVID-19 is needed. But I do have some concerns about the overall vision for this study. I think this work is not publishable in its current form. It needs hard work for publish.</p> <p><u>Major comments:</u></p> <p>Point 1: The format of the manuscript is not right. Where are the result and discussion sections?</p> <p>Point 2: The abstract is a fair representation of the paper however it was not written in a good manner. I would recommend to write the abstract in a good manner.</p> <p>Point 3: The author didn't add the objective of the study in the abstract.</p> <p>Point 4: Write some positive sentences regarding COVID-19 at the beginning of the introduction.</p> <p>Point 5: In line number 32-33, the author wrote (see "COVID-19-Related Mental Health Effects inside the Workplace: A NHANES Report"). What does it mean? I would recommend to put this in the reference section.</p> <p>Point 6: In the introduction, the information regarding COVID-19 and its psychological effects should be more informative and authentic. I would recommend to cite more recent publications for the introduction to be informative.</p> <p>Point 7: What is the hypothesis of this research? Please add the research hypothesis at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>Point 8: The method section is not good. Did the author do any statistical analysis?</p> <p>Point 9: Did the author collect data? What type of data did the author use? Cross-sectional or secondary data?</p> <p>Point 10: The author did not write the method section correctly. I would recommend to write the method section clearly and mention all the techniques correctly used in the study.</p> <p>Point 11: I would also recommend to perform statistical analyses.</p> <p>Point 12: The author didn't add the result and discussion.</p> <p>Point 13: The conclusion is not convincing.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Point 1: I spotted 'covid-19' instead of 'COVID-19' in the title.</p> <p>Point 2: Space between the words are not correctly put.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arman Hossain Chowdhury
Department, University & Country	Begum Rokeya University, Bangladesh