

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80849
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study Protocol for Assessment of Outcomes of Adjuvant Versus Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Stage-IIIA of Breast Cancer
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study is a good study that has been planned but the writing should be in good publishable English but the standard of writing is not up to the stage of publishing. I have made corrections to support the authors which they must reflect upon. Important sentences that document findings and statements of previous authors need to be referenced each time the statements are made. The writing of the references must be consistent.	
Minor REVISION comments	See above	
Optional/General comments	Encourage the authors to make the changes quickly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo
Department, University & Country	North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom