

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80842
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Intrathecal Dexmedetomidine and Fentanyl as Adjuvant to Bupivacaine for Labour Analgesia: A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Thank you for sending us a manuscript regarding a study protocol of effectiveness of intrathecal dexmedetomidine and fentanyl as adjuvant to bupivacaine for labor analgesia. A variety of study regarding labor analgesia using dexmedetomidine, fentanyl with bupivacaine. Therefore, your study can't consider as a novelty protocol for labor analgesia. In addition, amount of grammatical errors is found in your manuscript. For example, incorrect description of nouns and proper nouns, mistakes of punctuation and character spacing, mistakes in citation of literature in Discussion. Moreover, I consider that your conclusion can't understand for many readers. Please elaborate your English with co-authors and native speakers. Finally, the description of your cited references did not suitable for our journal. Please correct it according to guideline for authors.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shinsuke Hamaguchi
Department, University & Country	Dokkyo Medical University, Japan