

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80841
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study Protocol for Assessing the Correlation of USG Guided Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology and Histopathology of Intra-abdominal Masses
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. In Abstract: "impair the quality....." -> Incomplete sentence here.</p> <p>2. Research gap should be rephrased. Although the authors briefly mentioned the aim of the present study, it cannot be understood what the research gap they are working on. The comparison between USG-guided FNA cytology versus histopathology has been extensively studied. Therefore, instead of describing this will be done (again) in the present study protocol, the authors should claim why there's a research gap here for conducting the present study protocol. For example: Lack of some key information in previous studies?? No enough numbers of studies to the correlation?? No such study evidence in the authors' location and it should be re-examined?? Differences in the techniques the authors plan to use??</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. In Abstract: "aids in sensitivity and specificity of <u>Ultra sound</u> guided....." -> Delete the incidentally placed blank for ultrasound. And there're also other unnecessary blanks in the manuscript. Please check. convince</p> <p>2. In RESEARCH QUESTION: With the understanding of results obtained from "USG guided fine needle aspiration cytology of intra-abdominal masses and their associated histopathological evaluation", the following..... Please check if proper citation should be listed here.</p> <p>3. In RESEARCH QUESTION: "Does correlation exists between" exist, not exists.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The present study protocol is about comparing the diagnostic results between US-guided FNA cytology and histopathology for intra-abdominal masses. The authors also showed a good work on how they determine the sample size in the protocol. However, the research gap should be rephrased to give the readers a more clear understanding of why this study have to be conducted. There are some minor revisions to be made as mentioned above.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chung-Hui Lin
Department, University & Country	National Taiwan University, Taiwan