

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80837
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of the efficacy of Shatavari ghanavati & Bramhi ghanvati in Jarajanya smritibramsha (Senile Dementia).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The number of cases in the study is too small. To determine the optimal dose for a drug, there are necessary large clinical trials. The period of administration is too short and the observation of the cases need more time, minimal 1 year. There are necessary periodical psychiatric evaluations to establish the effect for different doses (MMSE is insufficient). The statistical analyse purposed is insufficient because the study need quantitative analysis.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anamaria Ciubara
Department, University & Country	Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania