

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80835
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Correlation between Amniotic Fluid Index (AFI) and Feto-maternal Outcomes of In-term Pregnancies
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper deals with the feto-maternal outcomes in case of reduced amniotic fluid in pregnancy. The repercussions on the fetus are sometimes significant. The study focuses on it in the short term just after birth. I would like to suggest, if possible, their medium-term evaluations (until the age of 3 months) in order to better estimate the consequences on the neurological development of the future infant.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are terms that are written sometimes in full and sometimes in abbreviations such as "amniotic fluid index", "amniotic fluid volume" and "low birth weight". Other words are only unexplained abbreviations such as "PIH" and "IUGR". The authors are invited to standardize the terms used and define them in advance.	
Optional/General comments	The paper deals with the maternal and fetal outcomes in term pregnancies with a decreased amniotic fluid index (AFI). This is a prospective and comparative study between three groups of full-term pregnant women according to their AFI (low, borderline, and normal). The study protocol is well defined with a large number of pregnant women in each group and a clear method.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samia Hamouda
Department, University & Country	Bechir Hamza Children's Hospital of Tunis, University El Manar, Tunisia