

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80830
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analysing the Role of Platelet Rich Plasma As Biological Stimulator for Cartilage Regeneration: An Experimental Study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Study Protocol</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Overall, manuscripts is conducted according to the STROBE guidelines: introduction, methods, results, discussions and comments Abstract, Introduction, Methods, Results and Discussion: good</p> <p>Material and Methods: Page 4 - In the section on methodology - there is luck of description the methods of "undergoing destruction of articular cartilage and inducing osteoarthritis". I suggest you complete these data - BLOOD WITHDRAWAL - there is no data on what will be marked and what tests will be done from the collected material. Please add these date - Research methodology - whether during the 3-month follow-up it will also be planned to perform additional tests assessing the well-being of the rabbit - blood collection for testing, eg. morphology, liver enzymes, crp, creatinine ?</p> <p>I find the paper important with useful and interesting information's for the readers of <b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Overall the methodology and language is good, the comments are interesting.. The limitation of the study is animal model. Despite this, it limits the sheer size of the study group and makes it an interesting observation.</p> <p>The authors can do a minor correction without re-evaluation Acceptance without rerevision</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Katarzyna Cyganek</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jagiellonian University, Medical College, Krakow, Poland</b>